


Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964

Implementation Plan 2021-24



Adopted: June 7, 2021

86 W. Center St.
Douglas, Michigan 49406
Phone: 269-857-1438
Fax: 269-857-4751
Website: www.ci.douglas.mi.us

Title VI Coordinator:
Pamela Aalderink, City Clerk
Phone: 269-857-1438
Fax: 269-857-4751
Email: clerk@ci.douglas.mi.us

¹The executive order verbatim can be found online at www.usdoj.gov/cor/Pubs/eolep.htm

²Policy Guidance Concerning Recipients' Responsibilities to Limited English Proficient (LEP) Persons. Federal Register: December 14, 2005 (Volume 70, Number 239)

³The DOT has also posted an abbreviated version of this guidance on their website at <http://www.dotcr.ost.dot.gov/asp/lep.asp>.

⁴<http://www.dotcr.ost.dot.gov/asp/lep.asp>

⁵Department of Justice Final (LEP) Guidelines, Federal Register June 18, 2002-Vol 67 Number 117.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Organizational Chart	3
INTRODUCTION	4
NON-DISCRIMINATION POLICY STATEMENT.....	6
STANDARD TITLE VI ASSURANCES.....	8
AUTHORITIES	10
ADMINISTRATION	11
LIMITED ENGLISH PROFICIENCY (LEP)	12
ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE (EJ).....	17
FILING A TITLE VI COMPLAINT.....	19
INVESTIGATION.....	20
APPENDIX A – REQUIRED CONTRACT LANGUAGE	22
APPENDIX B – TRANSFER OF PROPERTY	23
APPENDIX C – PERMITS, LEASES, AND LICENSES	24
APPENDIX D – TITLE VI COMPLAINT FORM	26
APPENDIX E – DETERMINE/DISTINGUISH SIGNIFICANT/NON-SIGNIFICANT EFFECTS	28
APPENDIX F – PROGRAM COMPLIANCE/PROGRAM REVIEW GOALS FOR CURRENT PLAN YEAR	29

¹The executive order verbatim can be found online at www.usdoj.gov/cor/Pubs/eolep.htm


²Policy Guidance Concerning Recipients’ Responsibilities to Limited English Proficient (LEP) Persons. Federal Register: December 14, 2005 (Volume 70, Number 239)

³The DOT has also posted an abbreviated version of this guidance on their website at <http://www.dotcr.ost.dot.gov/asp/lep.asp>.

⁴<http://www.dotcr.ost.dot.gov/asp/lep.asp>

⁵Department of Justice Final (LEP) Guidelines, Federal Register June 18, 2002-Vol 67 Number ¹¹⁷.

**CITY OF DOUGLAS
ORGANIZATIONAL CHART**



¹The executive order verbatim can be found online at www.usdoj.gov/cor/Pubs/eolep.htm

²Policy Guidance Concerning Recipients' Responsibilities to Limited English Proficient (LEP) Persons. Federal Register: December 14, 2005 (Volume 70, Number 239)

³The DOT has also posted an abbreviated version of this guidance on their website at <http://www.dotcr.ost.dot.gov/lep/asp>.

⁴<http://www.dotcr.ost.dot.gov/lep/asp>

⁵Department of Justice Final (LEP) Guidelines, Federal Register June 18, 2002-Vol 67 Number ¹¹⁷.

INTRODUCTION

The City of Douglas, (officially the City of the Village of Douglas), was originally known as Dudleyville, and first settled in 1851 as a lumber town with area sawmills providing much of the lumber used to rebuild Chicago after the great fire of 1871. In 1861, residents changed the name to Douglas. Reportedly the name was chosen to honor American statesman Stephen A. Douglas, but other reports indicate that a relative of the original owner of the land also suggested the name because he came from Douglas, the capital of the Isle of Mann. Douglas was incorporated as a village in 1870 and became a City in December 2004. The City of Douglas serves all people of the City, including minority populations, low-income populations, the elderly, persons with disabilities, and those who traverse the City. The City of Douglas recognizes its responsibility its responsibility to provide fairness and equity in all its programs, services, and activities, and that it must abide by and enforce federal and state civil rights legislation.

Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, is the overarching civil rights law which prohibits discrimination based on race, color, or national origin, in any program, service or activity that receives federal assistance. Specifically, Title VI assures that, “No person in the United States shall, on the grounds of race, color, or national origin, be excluded from participation in, be denied the benefit of, or be otherwise subjected to discrimination under any program or activity receiving federal assistance.” Title VI has been broadened by related statutes, regulations, and executive orders. Discrimination based on sex is prohibited by Section 324 of the Federal-Aid Highway Act, which is the enabling legislation of the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA).

The Uniform Relocation Assistance and Real Property Acquisition Policies Act of 1970 prohibit unfair and inequitable treatment of persons because of projects which are undertaken with Federal financial assistance. The Civil Rights Restoration Act of 1987 clarified the intent of Title VI to include all programs and activities of federal-aid recipients and contractors whether those programs and activities are federally funded or not.

In addition to statutory authorities, Executive Order 12898, “Federal Actions to Address Environmental Justice in Minority Populations and Low-Income Populations,” signed in February of 1994, requires federal agencies to achieve Environmental Justice as part of its mission by identifying disproportionately high and adverse human health or environmental effects of its programs, policies, and activities on minority populations and low-income populations. Environmental Justice initiatives are accomplished by involving the potentially affected public in the development of transportation projects that fit within their communities without sacrificing safety or mobility. In 1997, the U.S. Department of Transportation (USDOT) issued its DOT Order to address Environmental Justice in Minority Populations and Low-Income Populations to summarize and expand upon the requirements of Executive Order 12898 on Environmental Justice. Also, Executive Order 13166, “Improving Access to Services for Persons with Limited English Proficiency (LEP),” provides that no person shall be subjected to discrimination based on race, color, or national origin under any program or activity that receives Federal financial assistance.

As a recipient of federal financial assistance, the City of Douglas must provide access to individuals with limited ability to speak, write, or understand the English language. The City will not restrict an individual in any way from the enjoyment of any advantage or privilege enjoyed by others receiving any service, financial aid, or other benefit under its programs or projects. Individuals may not be subjected to

¹The executive order verbatim can be found online at www.usdoj.gov/cor/Pubs/eolep.htm

²Policy Guidance Concerning Recipients’ Responsibilities to Limited English Proficient (LEP) Persons. Federal Register: December 14, 2005 (Volume 70, Number 239)

³The DOT has also posted an abbreviated version of this guidance on their website at <http://www.dotcr.ost.dot.gov/asp/lep.asp>.

⁴<http://www.dotcr.ost.dot.gov/asp/lep.asp>

⁵Department of Justice Final (LEP) Guidelines, Federal Register June 18, 2002-Vol 67 Number 117.

criteria or methods of administration which cause adverse impact because of their race, color, or national origin, or have the effect of defeating or substantially impairing accomplishment of the objectives of the program because of race, color, or national origin. Therefore, the primary goals and objectives of the City of the Village of Douglas's Title VI Program are:

1. To assign roles, responsibilities, and procedures for ensuring compliance with with Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and related regulations and directives.
2. To ensure that people affected by the City's programs and projects receive the services, benefits, and opportunities to which they are entitled without regard to race, color, national origin, age, sex, or disability.
3. To prevent discrimination in the City of Douglas's programs and activities, whether those programs and activities are federally funded or not.
4. To establish procedures for identifying impacts in any program, service, or activity that may create illegal adverse discrimination on any person because of race, color, national origin, age, sex, or disability; or on minority populations, low-income populations, the elderly, and all interested persons and affected Title VI populations.
5. To establish procedures to annually review Title VI compliance within specific program areas within the City.
6. To set forth procedures for filing and processing complaints by persons who believe they have been subjected to illegal discrimination under Title VI in the City's services, programs, or activities.

As a sub-recipient of federal transportation funds, the City of Douglas must comply with federal and state laws, and related statutes, to ensure equal access and opportunity to all persons, with respect to transportation services, facilities, activities, and programs, without regard to race, color, national origin, sex, socio-economic status, or geographical location. Every effort will be made to prevent discrimination in any program or activity, whether those programs and activities are federally funded or not, as guaranteed by the Civil Rights Restoration Act of 1987.

The City of Douglas shall also ensure that their sub-recipients adhere to state and federal law and include in all written agreements or contracts, assurances that the sub-recipient must comply with Title VI and other related statutes. The City of Douglas, as a sub-recipient who distributes federal transportation funds, shall monitor their sub-recipients for voluntary compliance with Title VI. If non-compliance is discovered, the City will make a good faith effort to ensure that the sub-recipient corrects any deficiencies arising out of complains related to Title VI; and that sub-recipients will proactively gauge the impacts of any program or activity on minority populations and low-income populations, the elderly, persons with disabilities, all interested persons and affected Title VI populations.

¹The executive order verbatim can be found online at www.usdoj.gov/cor/Pubs/eolep.htm

²Policy Guidance Concerning Recipients' Responsibilities to Limited English Proficient (LEP) Persons. Federal Register: December 14, 2005 (Volume 70, Number 239)

³The DOT has also posted an abbreviated version of this guidance on their website at <http://www.dotcr.ost.dot.gov/asp/lep.asp>.

⁴<http://www.dotcr.ost.dot.gov/asp/lep.asp>

⁵Department of Justice Final (LEP) Guidelines, Federal Register June 18, 2002-Vol 67 Number 117.

Discrimination under Title VI

There are two types of illegal discrimination prohibited under Title VI and its related statutes. One type of discrimination which may or may not be intentional is “disparate treatment.” Disparate treatment is defined as treating similarly situated persons differently because of their race, color, national origin, sex, disability, or age.

The second type of illegal discrimination is “disparate impact.” Disparate impact discrimination occurs when a “neutral procedure or practice” results in fewer services or benefits, or inferior services or benefits, to members of a protected group. With disparate impact, the focus is on the consequences of a decision, policy, or practice rather than the intent.

The City of Douglas’s efforts to prevent such discrimination must address but not be limited to, a program’s impacts, access, benefits, participation, treatment, services, contracting opportunities, training, investigation of complaints, allocation of funds, prioritization of projects, and the overarching functions of planning, project development and delivery, right-of-way, construction, and research.

The City of Douglas has developed this Title VI Plan to assure that services, programs, and activities of the City are offered, conducted, and administered fairly, without regard to race, color, national origin, sex, age, or disability of the participants or beneficiaries of federally funded programs, services, or activities (see Title VI Assurances).

CITY OF THE VILLAGE OF DOUGLAS NON-DISCRIMINATION POLICY STATEMENT

The City of Douglas reaffirms its policy to allow all individuals the opportunity to participate in federal financially assisted services and adopts the following provision:

“No person in the United States shall, on the grounds of race, color, or national origin, be excluded from participation in, be denied the benefits of, or be subjected to discrimination under any program or activity receiving Federal financial assistance.” In applying this policy, the City and its sub-recipients of federal funds shall not:

1. Deny any individual with any service, opportunity, or other benefit for which such Individual is otherwise qualified.
2. Provide any individual with any service, or other benefit, which is inferior (in quantity or quality) to, or which is provided in a different manner from that which is provided to others.
3. Subject any individual to segregated or disparate treatment in any manner related to such individual’s receipt of services or benefits.
4. Restrict an individual in any way from the enjoyment of services, facilities or any other advantage, privilege or other benefits provided to others.

¹The executive order verbatim can be found online at www.usdoj.gov/cor/Pubs/eolep.htm

²Policy Guidance Concerning Recipients’ Responsibilities to Limited English Proficient (LEP) Persons. Federal Register: December 14, 2005 (Volume 70, Number 239)

³The DOT has also posted an abbreviated version of this guidance on their website at <http://www.dotcr.ost.dot.gov/asp/lep.asp>.

⁴<http://www.dotcr.ost.dot.gov/asp/lep.asp>

⁵Department of Justice Final (LEP) Guidelines, Federal Register June 18, 2002-Vol 67 Number 117.

5. Adopt or use methods of administration, which would limit participation by any group of recipients or subject any individual to discrimination.
6. Address any individual in a manner that denotes inferiority because of race, color, or national origin.
7. Permit discriminatory activity in a facility build in whole or in part with federal funds.
8. Deny any segment of the population the opportunity to participate in the operations of a planning or advisory body that is an integral part of a federally funded program.
9. Fail to provide information in a language other than English to potential or actual beneficiaries who are of limited English-speaking ability, when requested and as appropriate.
10. Subject an individual to discriminatory employment practices under any federally program whose objective is to provide employment.
11. Locate a facility in any way, which would limit or impede access to a federally funded service or benefit.

The City of Douglas will actively pursue the prevention of any Title VI deficiencies or violations and will take the necessary steps to ensure compliance. If irregularities occur in the administration of the program's operation, procedures will be promptly implemented to resolve Title VI issues all within a period not to exceed 90 days.

The City of Douglas designates Pamela Aalderink, City Clerk as the Title VI Coordinator. The City Clerk will be responsible for initiating and monitoring Title VI activities and other required matters, ensuring that the City of Douglas complies with the Title VI regulations and pursues prevention of Title VI deficiencies or violations. Inquiries concerning the City of Douglas and Title VI may be directed to Pamela Aalderink, City Clerk, 86 W. Center Street, P.O. Box 757, Douglas, Mi 49406; Phone: 269-857-1438. Email: clerk@ci.douglas.mi.us

Patricia Lion, Mayor

Pamela Aalderink, City Clerk/Title VI Coordinator

¹The executive order verbatim can be found online at www.usdoj.gov/cor/Pubs/eolep.htm

²Policy Guidance Concerning Recipients' Responsibilities to Limited English Proficient (LEP) Persons. Federal Register: December 14, 2005 (Volume 70, Number 239)

³The DOT has also posted an abbreviated version of this guidance on their website at <http://www.dotcr.ost.dot.gov/asp/lep.asp>.

⁴<http://www.dotcr.ost.dot.gov/asp/lep.asp>

⁵Department of Justice Final (LEP) Guidelines, Federal Register June 18, 2002-Vol 67 Number 117.

CITY OF THE VILLAGE OF DOUGLAS TITLE VI ASSURANCES

The City of Douglas (hereinafter referred to as the “Recipient”) hereby agrees that as a condition to receiving any Federal financial assistance from the U.S. Department of Transportation, it will comply with Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, 78 Stat. 252., 42 USC 2000d-42 USC 2000d-4 (hereinafter referred to as the “Act”), and all requirements imposed by or pursuant to Title 40, Code of Federal Regulations, Department of Transportation, Subtitle A, Office of the Secretary, Part 21, Non-discrimination in Federally-Assisted Programs for the Department of Transportation – Effectuation of Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (hereinafter referred to as the “Regulations”) and other pertinent directives, to the end that in accordance with the Act, Regulations, and other pertinent directives, no person in the United States shall, on the grounds of race, color or national origin, be excluded from participation in, be denied the benefits of, or be otherwise subjected to discrimination under any program or activity for which the Recipient received Federal financial assistance from the Department of Transportation, including the Federal Highway Administration, and hereby gives assurances that it will promptly take any measures necessary to effectuate this agreement. This assurance is required by subsection 21.7 (a) (1) and (b) of the Regulations.

More specifically and with out limiting the above general assurance, the Recipient hereby gives the following specific assurance with respect to the Federal Aid Highway Program:

1. That the Recipient agrees that each “program” and each “facility” as defined in subsections 21.23 (e) and 21.23 (b) of the Regulations, will be (with regard to a “program”) conducted, or will be (with regard to a “facility”) operated in compliance with all requirements imposed by, or pursuant to, the Regulations.
2. That the Recipient shall insert the following notification in all solicitations for bids for work or material subject to the Regulations and made in connection with all Federal Aid Highway Programs and, in adapted form in all proposals for negotiated agreements:

“The (Recipient), in accordance with Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, 78 Stat. 252, 42 U.S.C. 2000d-4 and Title 49, Code of Federal Regulations, Department of Transportation, Sub-Title A, Office of the Secretary, Part 21, Nondiscrimination in Federally assisted programs of the Department of Transportation issued pursuant to such Act, hereby notifies all bidders that it will affirmatively insure that in any contract entered into pursuant to this advertisement, minority business enterprises will be afforded full opportunity to submit bids in response to this invitation and will not be discriminated against on the grounds of race, color, or national origin in consideration for an award.”

3. That the Recipient shall insert the clauses of Appendix A of this assurance in every contract subject to the Act and the Regulations.
4. That the Recipient shall insert the clauses of Appendix B of this assurance, as a covenant running with the land, in any deed from the United States effecting a transfer of real property, structures, or improvements thereon, or interest therein.

¹The executive order verbatim can be found online at www.usdoj.gov/cor/Pubs/eolep.htm

²Policy Guidance Concerning Recipients’ Responsibilities to Limited English Proficient (LEP) Persons. Federal Register: December 14, 2005 (Volume 70, Number 239)

³The DOT has also posted an abbreviated version of this guidance on their website at <http://www.dotcr.ost.dot.gov/asp/lep.asp>.

⁴<http://www.dotcr.ost.dot.gov/asp/lep.asp>

⁵Department of Justice Final (LEP) Guidelines, Federal Register June 18, 2002-Vol 67 Number 117.

5. That where the Recipient receives Federal financial assistance to construct a facility, or part of a facility, the assurance shall extend to the entire facility and facilities operated in connection therewith.
6. That where the Recipient receives Federal financial assistance in the form, or for the acquisition of real property or an interest in real property, the assurance shall extend to rights to space on, over or under such property.
7. That the Recipient shall include the appropriate clauses set forth in Appendix C of this assurance, as a covenant running with the land, in any future deeds, leases, permits, licenses, and similar agreements entered into by the Recipient with other parties: (a) for the subsequent transfer of real property acquired or improved under the Federal Aid Highway Program; and (b) for the construction or use of or access to space on, over or under real property acquired, or improved under Federal Aid Highway Program.
8. That this assurance obligates the Recipient for the period during which Federal financial assistance is extended to the program, except where the Federal financial assistance is to provide, or is in the form of, personal property, or real property or interest therein or structures of improvements thereon, in which case the assurance obligates the Recipient or any transferee for the longer of the following periods: (a) the period during which the property is used for a purpose for which the Federal financial assistance is extended, or for another purpose involving the provision of similar services or benefits; or (b) the period during which the Recipient retains ownership or possession of the property.
9. The Recipient shall provide for such methods of administration for the program as are found by the Secretary of Transportation or the official to whom it delegates specific authority to give reasonable guarantee that it, other recipients, sub-grantees, contractors, subcontractors, transferees, successors in interest, and other participants of Federal financial assistance under such program will comply with all requirements imposed or pursuant to the Act, the Regulations, and this assurance.
10. The Recipient agrees that the United States has a right to seek judicial enforcement regarding any matter arising under the Act, the Regulations, and this assurance.

This assurance is given in consideration of and for the purpose of obtaining all Federal grants, loans, contracts, property, discounts, or other Federal financial assistance extended after the date hereof to the Recipient under the Federal Aid Highway Program and is binding on it, other recipients, sub-grantees, contractors, sub-contractors, transferees, successors in interest and other participants in the Federal Aids Highway Program. The person or persons whose signatures appear below are authorized to sign this assurance on behalf of the Recipient.

City of the Village of Douglas

Patricia Lions, Mayor

Date

¹The executive order verbatim can be found online at www.usdoj.gov/cor/Pubs/eolep.htm

²Policy Guidance Concerning Recipients' Responsibilities to Limited English Proficient (LEP) Persons. Federal Register: December 14, 2005 (Volume 70, Number 239)

³The DOT has also posted an abbreviated version of this guidance on their website at <http://www.dotcr.ost.dot.gov/asp/lep.asp>.

⁴<http://www.dotcr.ost.dot.gov/asp/lep.asp>

⁵Department of Justice Final (LEP) Guidelines, Federal Register June 18, 2002-Vol 67 Number 117.

AUTHORITIES

Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, 42 USC 2000d-4; 42 USC 4601 to 4655; 23 USC 109 (h).

Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 provides that no person in the United States shall, on the grounds of race, color, or national origin (including Limited English Proficiency), be excluded from participation in, be denied the benefits of, or be otherwise subjected to discrimination under any program or activity receiving federal financial assistance (please refer to 23 CFR 200.9 and 49 DFR 21). Related statutes have broadened the grounds to include age, sex, low income, and disability.

The Civil Rights Restoration Act of 1987 also broadened the scope of Title VI coverage by expanding the definition of terms “programs or activities” to include all programs or activities of Federal Aid recipients, sub-recipients, and contractors, whether such programs and activities are federally assisted or not (Public Law 100-259 [S. 557] March 22, 1988).

Federal Aid Highway Act of 1973, 23 USC 324: No person shall on the ground of sex be excluded from participation in, be denied the benefits of, or be subjected to discrimination under any program or activity receiving federal assistance under this title or carried on under this title.

Age Discrimination Act of 1975, 42 USC 6101: No person in the United States shall, based on age, be excluded from participation in, be denied the benefits of, or be subjected to discrimination under, any program or activity receiving federal financial assistance.

Americans With Disabilities Act of 1990 PL 101-336: No qualified individual with a disability shall, be reason of their disability, be excluded from participation in, be denied the benefits of, or otherwise be subjected to discrimination by a department, agency, special purpose district or other instrumentality of a state or local government.

Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973: No qualified individual with a disability shall, solely by reason of their disability, be excluded from participation in, be denied the benefits of, or be subjected to discrimination under any program or activity that receives or benefits from federal financial assistance.

USDOT Order 1050.2: Standard Title Vi Assurances.

EO12250: Department of Justice Leadership and coordination of Non-discrimination Laws.

EO12898: Federal Actions to Address Environmental Justice in Minority Populations and Low-income Populations.

28 DFR 50.3: Guidelines for the enforcement of Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964.

EO13166: Improving Access to Services for Persons with Limited English Proficiency.

¹The executive order verbatim can be found online at www.usdoj.gov/cor/Pubs/eolep.htm

²Policy Guidance Concerning Recipients' Responsibilities to Limited English Proficient (LEP) Persons. Federal Register: December 14, 2005 (Volume 70, Number 239)

³The DOT has also posted an abbreviated version of this guidance on their website at <http://www.dotcr.ost.dot.gov/asp/lep.asp>.

⁴<http://www.dotcr.ost.dot.gov/asp/lep.asp>

⁵Department of Justice Final (LEP) Guidelines, Federal Register June 18, 2002-Vol 67 Number 117.

ADMINISTRATION – GENERAL

The City of Douglas designates Pamela Aalderink, City Clerk, as the Title VI Coordinator (hereinafter referred to as the “Title VI Coordinator”). Ms. Aalderink shall have lead responsibility for coordinating the administration of the Title VI and related statutes, programs, plans, and assurances.

Complaints: If any individual believes that they or any other program beneficiaries have been the object of unequal treatment or discrimination as to the receipt of benefits and/or service, or on the grounds of race, color, national origin (including Limited English Proficiency), sex, age, or disability, they may exercise their right to file a complaint with the City. Complaints may be filed with the Title VI Coordinator. Every effort will be made to resolve complaints informally at the lowest level.

Data Collection: Statistical data on race, color, national origin, English language ability and sex of participants in and beneficiaries of the City programs, e.g., impacted citizens and affected communities will be gathered and maintained by the City. The gathering procedures will be reviewed annually to ensure sufficiency of the data in meeting the requirements of the Title VI program.

Program Review: Special emphasis program reviews will be conducted based on the annual summary of Title VI activities, accomplishments, and problems. The reviews will be conducted by the Title VI Coordinator to assure effectiveness in their compliance of Title VI provisions. The Title VI Coordinator will coordinate efforts to ensure the equal participation in all their programs and activities at all levels. The City does not have any special emphasis programs currently.

Title VI Reviews on Sub-Recipients: Title VI compliance reviews will be conducted annually by the Title VI Coordinator. Priority for conducting reviews will be given to those recipients of federal (U.S. Department of Transportation) funds with the greatest potential of impact to those groups covered by the Act. The reviews will entail examination of the recipients’ adherence to all Title VI requirements. The status of each review will be reported in the annual update and reported to relevant U.S. Department of Transportation (USDOT) modes upon request.

Annual Reporting Form: The Title VI Coordinator will be responsible for coordination, compilation, and submission of the annual reporting form data to the Michigan Department of Transportation (MDOT), Civil Rights Program Unit via the Sub-Recipient Annual Certification Form (MDOT form #0179) by October 5th.

Title VI Plan Updates: If updated, a copy of Title VI Plan will be submitted to the MDOT, Civil Rights Program Unit, as soon as they update has been completed, or as soon as practicable, and no later than 30 days if significant changes are made.

Public Dissemination: The City will disseminate Title VI Program information to the City employees and to the general public. Title VI Program information will be submitted to sub-recipients, contractors and beneficiaries. Public dissemination will include inclusions of Title VI language in contracts and publishing the City’s Title VI Plan within 90 days of approval on the main page of the City of the Village of Douglas’s internet website, at www.ci.douglas.mi.us

Remedial Action: The City, through the Title VI Coordinator, will actively pursue the prevention of Title VI deficiencies and violations and will take the necessary steps to ensure compliance with all program’s

¹The executive order verbatim can be found online at www.usdoj.gov/cor/Pubs/eolep.htm

²Policy Guidance Concerning Recipients’ Responsibilities to Limited English Proficient (LEP) Persons. Federal Register: December 14, 2005 (Volume 70, Number 239)

³The DOT has also posted an abbreviated version of this guidance on their website at <http://www.dotcr.ost.dot.gov/asp/lep.asp>.

⁴<http://www.dotcr.ost.dot.gov/asp/lep.asp>

⁵Department of Justice Final (LEP) Guidelines, Federal Register June 18, 2002-Vol 67 Number 117.

administrative requirements. When deficiencies are found, procedures will be promptly implemented to correct the deficiencies and to put in writing the corrective action(s). The period to determine corrective action(s) and put them in writing to effect compliance may not exceed 90 days from the date the deficiencies are found.

LIMITED ENGLISH PROFICIENCY (LEP)

On August 11, 2000, President William J. Clinton signed an executive order, Executive Order 13166: Improving Access to Service for Persons with Limited English Proficiency¹, to clarify Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964. It had as its purpose, to ensure accessibility to programs and services to otherwise eligible persons who are not proficient in the English language.

This executive order stated that individuals who do not speak English well and who have a limited ability to read, write and speak, or understand English are entitled to language assistance under Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 with respect to a particular type of service, benefit, or encounter². These individuals are referred to as being limited in their ability to speak, read, write, or understand English, hence the designation “LEP”, or Limited English Proficient. The Executive Order states that:

“Each federal agency shall prepare a plan to improve access to its federally conducted Programs and activities by eligible LEP persons. Each plan shall be consistent with the Standards set forth in the LEP Guidance, and shall include the steps the agency will take to ensure that eligible LEP persons can meaningfully access the agency’s programs and activities.”

Not only do all federal agencies have to develop LEP plans as a condition of receiving federal financial assistance, but recipients also must comply with Title VI and LEP guidelines of the federal agency from which funds are provided as well.

Federal financial assistance includes grants, training, use of equipment, donations of surplus property, and other assistance. Recipients of federal funds range from state and local agencies to nonprofits and organizations. Title VI covers a recipient’s entire program or activity. This means all parts of a recipient’s operations are covered, even if only one part of a recipient’s organization receives the federal assistance. Simply put, any organization that receives federal financial assistance is required to follow this Executive Order.

The City of Douglas receives funds from the US Department of Transportation via the Federal Highway Administration. The US Department of Transportation published *Policy Guidance Concerning Recipients’ responsibilities to Limited English Proficient Person in the December 14th, 2005 Federal Register*.³

The Guidance implies that the City of Douglas is an organization that must follow this guidance.

This guidance applies to all DOT funding recipients, which include state departments of transportation, state motor vehicle administrations, airport operators, among many others. Coverage extends to a recipient’s entire program or activity, i.e., all parts of a recipient’s operations. This is true even if only one part of the recipient receives the Federal assistance. For example, if DOT provides assistance to a

¹The executive order verbatim can be found online at www.usdoj.gov/cor/Pubs/eolep.htm

²Policy Guidance Concerning Recipients’ Responsibilities to Limited English Proficient (LEP) Persons. Federal Register: December 14, 2005 (Volume 70, Number 239)

³The DOT has also posted an abbreviated version of this guidance on their website at <http://www.dotcr.ost.dot.gov/asp/lep.asp>.

⁴<http://www.dotcr.ost.dot.gov/asp/lep.asp>

⁵Department of Justice Final (LEP) Guidelines, Federal Register June 18, 2002-Vol 67 Number 117.

state department of transportation to rehabilitate a particular highway on the National Highway System, all the operations of the entire state department of transportation – not just the highway program or project – are covered by the DOT guidance.

ELEMENTS OF AN EFFECTIVE LEP POLICY

The US Department of Justice, Civil Rights Division has developed a set of elements that may be helpful in designing an LEP policy or Plan. These elements include:

1. Identify LEP persons who need language assistance
2. Identify ways in which language assistance will be provided
3. Train Staff
4. Provide notice to LEP person
5. Recommend a method of evaluating accessibility to available transportation services is the Four-Factor Analysis identified by the USDOT.

These recommended plan elements have been incorporated into this plan.

METHODOLOGY FOR ASSESSING NEEDS AND REASONABLE STEPS FOR AN EFFECTIVE LEP POLICY

The DOT guidance outlines four factors' recipients should apply to the various kinds of contacts they have with the public to assess language needs and decide what reasonable steps they should take to ensure meaningful access for LEP persons:

1. The number or proportion of LEP persons eligible to be served or likely to be encountered by a program, activity, or service of the recipient or grantee.
2. The frequency with which LEP individuals meet the program.
3. The nature and importance of the program, activity, or service provided by the recipient to the LEP community.
4. The resources available to the City of Douglas and overall cost.

The greater the number or proportion of eligible LEP persons, the greater the frequency with which they have contact with a program, activity, or service and the greater the importance of that program, activity, or service, the more likely enhanced language services will be needed. The intent of DOT's guidance is to suggest a balance that ensures meaningful access by LEP person to critical services while not imposing undue burdens on small organizations and local governments.

Smaller recipients with more limited budgets are typically not expected to provide the same level of language services as larger recipients with larger budgets.

The DOT guidance is modeled after the Department of Justice's guidance and requires recipients and sub-recipients to take steps to ensure meaningful access to their programs and activities to LEP persons. More information for recipients and sub-recipients can be found at <http://www.lep.gov>

¹The executive order verbatim can be found online at www.usdoj.gov/cor/Pubs/eolep.htm

²Policy Guidance Concerning Recipients' Responsibilities to Limited English Proficient (LEP) Persons. Federal Register: December 14, 2005 (Volume 70, Number 239)

³The DOT has also posted an abbreviated version of this guidance on their website at <http://www.dotcr.ost.dot.gov/asp/lep.asp>.

⁴<http://www.dotcr.ost.dot.gov/asp/lep/asp>

⁵Department of Justice Final (LEP) Guidelines, Federal Register June 18, 2002-Vol 67 Number 117.

THE FOUR-FACTOR ANALYSIS

This plan uses the recommended four-factor analysis of an individualized assessment considering the four factors outline above. Each of the following factors is examined to determine the level and extent of language assistance measures required to sufficiently ensure meaningful access to the City of Douglas services and activities that may affect their quality of life. Recommendations are then based on the results of the analysis.

Factor 1: The Proportion, Numbers and Distribution of LEP Persons

The Census Bureau has a range for four classifications of how well people speak English. The classifications are: 'very well', 'well,' 'not well', and 'not at all'. For our planning purposes, we are considering people that speak English less than 'very well' as Limited English Proficient persons.

Factor 2: Frequency of Contact with LEP Individuals

The City has conducted an informal survey of our employees about whether they have had encounters with LEP individuals in the performance of their job functions and found that they have not had any encounters with LEP individuals. We have offices accessible to the public and therefore accessible to LEP individuals. We also have staff that work in the field that could encounter LEP individuals. Additionally, regular City Council meetings are held the first and third Monday of each month, which would potentially bring LEP individuals to these meetings. Given the number of LEP individuals as being low, the city provides zoom meeting with the ability to transcribe in non-English.

Factor 3: The Nature and Importance of the Program, Activity, or Service to LEP

The City of Douglas serves individuals throughout the City in a variety of ways including managing roads, water, sewer, police, fire, elections, and other services to residents and other individuals, such as visitors and those traversing the state. The nature of the services that the City provides is very important to an individual's day to day life. Therefore, the denial of services to an LEP individual could have a significant detrimental effect. Given the number of LEP individuals in the City, we will ensure accessibility to all programs, services, and activities.

Factor 4: The Resources Available to the City of the Village of Douglas and Overall Cost

US Department of Transportation Policy Guidance Concerning Recipients' Responsibilities to Limited English Proficient (LEP) Persons published in the Federal Register: December 14, 2005 (Volume 70, Number 239) states:

"Certain DOT recipients, such as those serving very few LEP persons or those with very limited resources, may choose not to develop a written LEP Plan."

The City of Douglas serves very few LEP persons and has very limited resources. However, it has decided to include a LEP section in its Title VI Plan to comply with the Executive Order and to ensure access and reasonable accommodations for LEP persons who may be unknown at this time.

¹The executive order verbatim can be found online at www.usdoj.gov/cor/Pubs/eolep.htm

²Policy Guidance Concerning Recipients' Responsibilities to Limited English Proficient (LEP) Persons. Federal Register: December 14, 2005 (Volume 70, Number 239)

³The DOT has also posted an abbreviated version of this guidance on their website at <http://www.dotcr.ost.dot.gov/asp/lep.asp>.

⁴<http://www.dotcr.ost.dot.gov/asp/lep.asp>

⁵Department of Justice Final (LEP) Guidelines, Federal Register June 18, 2002-Vol 67 Number 117.

Safe Harbor Stipulation

Federal law provides a “Safe Harbor” situation so that recipients can ensure with greater certainty that they comply with their obligation to provide written translations in languages other than English. A “Safe Harbor” means that if a recipient provides written translation in certain circumstances, such action will be considered strong evidence of compliance with the recipient’s written-translation in certain circumstances, such action will be considered strong evidence of compliance with the recipient’s written-translation obligations under Title VI.

The failure to provide written translations under the circumstances does not mean there is non-compliance, but rather provides a guide for recipients that would like greater certainty of compliance than can be provided by a fact-intensive, four factor analysis. For example, even if a Safe Harbor is not used, if written translation of a certain document(s) would be so burdensome as to defeat the legitimate objectives of its program, it is not necessary. Other ways of providing meaningful access, such as effective oral interpretation of certain vital documents, might be acceptable under such circumstances.

Strong evidence of compliance with the recipient’s written translation obligations under “Safe Harbor” includes providing written translations of vital documents for each eligible LEP language group that constitutes 5% or 1,000, whichever is less, of the population of persons eligible to be served or likely to be affected or encountered. Translation of other documents, if needed, can be provided orally.

This “Safe Harbor” provision applies to the translation of written documents only. It does not affect the requirement to provide meaningful access to LEP individuals through competent oral interpreters where oral language services are needed and are reasonable.

Given the small number of LEP language group members, the City of Douglas’ budget and number of staff, it is deemed that written translation of vital documents would be so burdensome as to defeat the legitimate objectives of our programs. It is more appropriate for the City of Douglas to proceed with oral interpretation options for compliance with LEP regulations.

Providing Notice to LEP Persons

USDOT LEP guidance says:

Once an agency has decided, based on the four factors, that it will provide language service, it is important that the recipient notify LEP persons of services available free of charge. Recipients should provide this notice in languages LEP persons would understand.

The guidance provides several examples of notification including:

1. Signage, in languages that an LEP individual would understand that free language Assistance is available with advance notice.
2. Stating in outreach documents that free language services are available from the Agency.
3. Working with community-based organizations and other stakeholders to inform LEP individuals of the recipient’s services, including the availability of language assistance services.

¹The executive order verbatim can be found online at www.usdoj.gov/cor/Pubs/eolep.htm

²Policy Guidance Concerning Recipients’ Responsibilities to Limited English Proficient (LEP) Persons. Federal Register: December 14, 2005 (Volume 70, Number 239)

³The DOT has also posted an abbreviated version of this guidance on their website at <http://www.dotcr.ost.dot.gov/asp/lep.asp>.

⁴<http://www.dotcr.ost.dot.gov/asp/lep.asp>

⁵Department of Justice Final (LEP) Guidelines, Federal Register June 18, 2002-Vol 67 Number 117.

Statements in languages that an LEP individual would understand will be placed in public information and public notices informing LEP individuals that those requiring language assistance and/or special accommodations will be provided the requested service free of charge, with reasonable advance notice to those who inquire.

Options and Proposed Actions

Options:

Federal fund recipients have two (2) main ways to provide language services: oral interpretation either in person or via telephone interpretation service and written translation. The correct mix should be based on what is both necessary and reasonable considering the four-factor analysis.

The City of Douglas is defining an interpreter as a person who translates spoken language orally, as opposed to a translator, who translates written language or who transfers the meaning of written text from one language to another. The person who translates orally is not a translator, but an interpreter.

Considering the relatively small size of the City, the small number of LEP individuals in the service area, and limited financial resources, it is necessary to limit language aid to the most basic and cost-effective services. However, when requested appropriate assistance will be provided.

What the City of Douglas will do. What actions will the City of Douglas take?

- Notify the public that interpreter services are available upon request, with seven-day advance notice.
- With advance notice of seven calendar days, the City will provide interpreter services at public meetings, including language translation and signage for the hearing impaired.
- The City will utilize the Translators Resource List as provided by MDOT for translation services and verbal interpretation.
- The Census Bureau “I speak” Language Identification Card will be distributed to all employees that may potentially encounter LEP individuals.
- Once the LEP individual’s language has been identified, an agency from the translator’s resource list will be contacted to provide interpretation services.
- Publications of the City’s complaint form will be made available online and upon request.
- If a City employee encounters a LEP individual, they will follow the procedure listed below:

OFFICE ENCOUNTER

1. Provide an I-speak language identification card to determine the language spoken of the LEP individual.
2. Once the foreign language is determined, provide information to Title VI Coordinator who will contact an interpreter from MDOT’s translators resource list.
3. If the need is for a document to be translated, the Title VI Coordinator will have the document translated and provided to the requestor as soon as possible.

¹The executive order verbatim can be found online at www.usdoj.gov/cor/Pubs/eolep.htm

²Policy Guidance Concerning Recipients’ Responsibilities to Limited English Proficient (LEP) Persons. Federal Register: December 14, 2005 (Volume 70, Number 239)

³The DOT has also posted an abbreviated version of this guidance on their website at <http://www.dotcr.ost.dot.gov/asp/lep.asp>.

⁴<http://www.dotcr.ost.dot.gov/asp/lep.asp>

⁵Department of Justice Final (LEP) Guidelines, Federal Register June 18, 2002-Vol 67 Number 117.

ROAD ENCOUNTER

1. Road crew employee will immediately contact the Title VI Coordinator for assistance and provide an I-speak language identification card to the LEP individual to determine the language spoken of the individual.
2. Once the foreign language is determined, provide information to the Title VI Coordinator who will contact an interpreter from MDOT's translators resource list to provide telephonic interpretation.
3. If the need is for a document to be translated, the Title VI Coordinator will have the document translated and provided to the requestor as soon as possible.

IN WRITING

1. Once a letter has been received it will be immediately forwarded to the Title VI Coordinator.
2. The Title VI Coordinator will contact a translator from the MDOT translators resource list to determine the specifics of the letter request information.
3. The Title VI Coordinator will work with the selected agency to provide the requested service to the individual in a timely manner.

OVER THE PHONE

1. If someone calls into the office speaking another language every attempt will be made to keep that individual on the line until an interpreter can be conferenced into the line and if possible, determine the language spoken of the caller.
2. Once the language spoken by the caller has been identified, we will proceed with providing the requested assistance to the LEP individual.

The City of Douglas's Staff Training

The City of Douglas's staff will be provided training on the requirements for providing meaningful access to services for LEP persons.

ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE (EJ)

Compliance with Title VI includes ensuring that no minority or low-income population suffers "disproportionately high and adverse human health or environmental effect" due to any "programs, policies and activities" undertaken by any agency receiving federal funds. This obligation will be met by the City in the following ways:

- When planning specific programs or projects, identifying those populations that will be affected by a given program or project.
- If a disproportionate effect is anticipated, following mitigation procedures.
- If mitigation options do not sufficiently eliminate the disproportionate effect, discussing and, if necessary, implementing reasonable alternative.

¹The executive order verbatim can be found online at www.usdoj.gov/cor/Pubs/eolep.htm

²Policy Guidance Concerning Recipients' Responsibilities to Limited English Proficient (LEP) Persons. Federal Register: December 14, 2005 (Volume 70, Number 239)

³The DOT has also posted an abbreviated version of this guidance on their website at <http://www.dotcr.ost.dot.gov/asp/lep.asp>.

⁴<http://www.dotcr.ost.dot.gov/asp/lep.asp>

⁵Department of Justice Final (LEP) Guidelines, Federal Register June 18, 2002-Vol 67 Number 117.

Disproportionate effects are those effects which are appreciably more severe for one group or predominantly borne by a single group. The City will use U.S. Census data to identify low income and minority populations.

Where a project impacts a small number or area of low income or minority populations, the City will document that:

- Other reasonable alternatives were evaluated and were eliminated for reasons such as the alternatives impacted a far greater number of people or did greater harm to the environment; etc.
- The project's impact is unavoidable.
- The benefits of the project far out-weigh the overall impacts.
- Mitigation measures are being taken to reduce the harm to low income or minority populations.

IF it is concluded that no minority and/or low- income population groups are present in the project area, the City will document how the conclusion was reached. If it is determined that one or more of these population groups are present in the area, the City will administer a potential disproportionate effects test.

The following steps will be taken to assess the impact of projects on minorities and/or low-income population groups:

STEP ONE: Determine if a minority or low-income population is present within the project area. If the conclusion is that no minority and/or low-income population is present within the project area, document how the conclusion was reached. If the conclusion is that there are minority population groups and/or low-income population groups present, proceed to Step Two.

STEP TWO: Determine whether project impacts associated with the identified low-income and minority populations are disproportionately high and adverse. In doing so, refer to the list of potential impacts and questions contained in Appendix E. If it is determined that there are disproportionately high and adverse impacts to minority and low-income populations, proceed to Step Three.

STEP THREE: Propose measures that will avoid, minimize and/or mitigate disproportionately high and disproportionate adverse impacts and provide offsetting benefits and opportunities to enhance communities, neighborhoods and individuals affected by proposed project.

STEP FOUR: If after mitigation, enhancements and offsetting benefits to the affected populations, there remains a high and disproportionate adverse impact to minority or low-income populations, then the following questions must be considered:

1. Are there further mitigation measures that could be employed to avoid or reduce the adverse effect to the minority or low-income population?
2. Are there other additional alternatives to the proposed action that would avoid or reduce the impacts to the low income or minority populations?"

¹The executive order verbatim can be found online at www.usdoj.gov/cor/Pubs/eolep.htm

²Policy Guidance Concerning Recipients' Responsibilities to Limited English Proficient (LEP) Persons. Federal Register: December 14, 2005 (Volume 70, Number 239)

³The DOT has also posted an abbreviated version of this guidance on their website at <http://www.dotcr.ost.dot.gov/asp/lep.asp>.

⁴<http://www.dotcr.ost.dot.gov/asp/lep.asp>

⁵Department of Justice Final (LEP) Guidelines, Federal Register June 18, 2002-Vol 67 Number 117.

FILING A TITLE VI COMPLAINT

Introduction:

The Title VI complaint procedures are intended to provide persons an avenue to raise complaints of discrimination regarding the City programs, activities, and services as required by statute.

Purpose:

The purpose of the discrimination complaint procedures is to describe the process used by the City for processing complaints of discrimination under Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and related statutes.

Roles and Responsibilities:

The Title VI Coordinator has overall responsibility for the discrimination complaint process and procedures. The Title VI Coordinator may, at their discretion assign a capable person to investigate the complaint.

The designated investigator will conduct an impartial and objective investigation, collect factual information and prepare a fact-finding report based upon information obtained from the investigation.

Filing a Complaint:

The complainant shall make themselves reasonably available to the designated investigator, to ensure completion of the investigation within the timeframes set forth.

Applicability: The complaint procedures apply to the beneficiaries of City programs, activities, and services, including but not limited to the public, contractors, sub-contractors, consultants, and other sub-recipients of federal and state funds.

Eligibility: Any person who believes that they have been excluded from participation in, denied benefits or services of any program or activity administered by the City or its sub-recipients, consultants, and contractors based on race, color, national origin (including Limited English Proficiency), sex, age or disability may bring forth a complaint of discrimination under Title VI

Time Limitation on Filing Complaints:

Title VI complaints may be filed with the Title VI Coordinator's office. In all situations, the employees of the City must contact the Title VI Coordinator immediately upon receipt of Title VI related complaints.

Complaints must be filed within 180 days of the alleged discrimination. If the complainant could not reasonably be expected to know that the act was discriminatory within the 180-day period, they will have 60 additional days after becoming aware of the illegal discrimination to file the complaint.

¹The executive order verbatim can be found online at www.usdoj.gov/cor/Pubs/eolep.htm

²Policy Guidance Concerning Recipients' Responsibilities to Limited English Proficient (LEP) Persons. Federal Register: December 14, 2005 (Volume 70, Number 239)

³The DOT has also posted an abbreviated version of this guidance on their website at <http://www.dotcr.ost.dot.gov/asp/lep.asp>.

⁴<http://www.dotcr.ost.dot.gov/asp/lep.asp>

⁵Department of Justice Final (LEP) Guidelines, Federal Register June 18, 2002-Vol 67 Number 117.

Complaints must be in writing and must be signed by the complainant and/or the complainant's representative. The complaint must set forth as fully as possible the facts and circumstances surrounding the claimed discrimination. In cases where the complainant is unable or incapable of providing a written statement, the complainant will be assisted in converting the verbal complaint into a written complain. All complains, however, must be signed by the complainant and/or by the complainant's representative.

Items that should not be considered a formal complaint: (unless the items contain a signed cover letter specifically alleging a violation of Title VI) included but not limited to:

1. An anonymous complaint that is too vague to obtain required information.
2. Inquiries seeking advice or information.
3. Courtesy copies of court pleadings.
4. Newspaper articles.
5. Courtesy copies of internal grievances.

INVESTIGATION

Investigation Plan:

The investigator shall prepare a written plan, which includes, but is not limited to the following:

- Names of the complainant(s) and respondent(s)
- Basis for complaint
- Issues, events, or circumstances that caused the person to believe that they have been discriminated against.
- Information needed to address the issue.
- Criteria, sources necessary to obtain the information.
- Identification of key people.
- Estimated investigation timeline.
- Remedy sought by the complainant(s)

Conducting the Investigation:

- The investigation will address only those issues relevant to the allegations in the complaint.
- Confidentiality will be maintained as much as possible.
- Interviews will be conducted to obtain facts and evidence regarding the allegations in the complaint. The investigator will ask questions to elicit information about aspects of the case.
- A chronological contact sheet is maintained in the case file throughout the investigations.
- If a Title VI complaint is received on a MDOT related contract against the City of Douglas, MDOT will be responsible for conducting the investigation of the complaint. Upon receipt of a Title VI complaint filed against the City of Douglas, the complaint and any pertinent information should immediately be forwarded to the MDOT Civil Rights Program Unit.

Investigation Reporting Process:

¹The executive order verbatim can be found online at www.usdoj.gov/cor/Pubs/eolep.htm

²Policy Guidance Concerning Recipients' Responsibilities to Limited English Proficient (LEP) Persons. Federal Register: December 14, 2005 (Volume 70, Number 239)

³The DOT has also posted an abbreviated version of this guidance on their website at <http://www.dotcr.ost.dot.gov/asp/lep.asp>.

⁴<http://www.dotcr.ost.dot.gov/asp/lep.asp>

⁵Department of Justice Final (LEP) Guidelines, Federal Register June 18, 2002-Vol 67 Number 117.

- Complaints made against the City of Douglas sub-recipient should be investigated by the City following the internal complaint process.
- Within 40 days of receiving the complaint, the investigator prepares an investigative report and submits the report and supporting documentation to the office of Pamela Alderink, City Clerk for review.
- The Title VI Coordinator reviews the file and investigative report. After the review, the Title VI Coordinator makes a determination of “probable cause” or “no probable cause” and prepares the decision letter.

Retaliation:

The laws enforced by this City prohibit retaliation or intimidation against anyone because that individual has either taken action or participated in action to secure rights protected by these laws. If you experience retaliation or intimidation separate from the discrimination alleged in this complaint, please contact:

Pamela Alderink, City Clerk
Title VI Coordinator
86 W. Center St.
Douglas, MI 49406
Phone (269) 857-1438
clerk@ci.douglas.mi.us

Reporting Requirements to an External Agency

A copy of the complaint, together with a copy of the investigation report and final decision letter will be forwarded to the MDOT, Civil Rights Program Unit within 60 days of the date the complaint was received.

Records:

All records and investigative working files are maintained in a confidential area. Records are kept for three years.

¹The executive order verbatim can be found online at www.usdoj.gov/cor/Pubs/eolep.htm

²Policy Guidance Concerning Recipients’ Responsibilities to Limited English Proficient (LEP) Persons. Federal Register: December 14, 2005 (Volume 70, Number 239)

³The DOT has also posted an abbreviated version of this guidance on their website at <http://www.dotcr.ost.dot.gov/asp/lep.asp>.

⁴<http://www.dotcr.ost.dot.gov/asp/lep.asp>

⁵Department of Justice Final (LEP) Guidelines, Federal Register June 18, 2002-Vol 67 Number ¹¹⁷.

APPENDIX A – [TO BE INSERTED IN ALL FEDERAL-AID CONTRACTS]

During the performance of this contract, the contractor, for itself, its assignees, and successors in interest (hereinafter referred to as the “contractor”) agrees, as follows:

1. **Compliance with Regulations:** The contractor shall comply with Regulations relative to nondiscrimination in Federally assisted programs of the Department of Transportation, Title 49, code of Federal Regulations, Part 21, as they may be amended from time to time (hereinafter referred to as the Regulations), which are herein incorporated by reference and made a part of this contract.
2. **Nondiscrimination:** the contractor, with regard to the work performed by it during the contract, shall not discriminate on the grounds of race, color, sex, or national origin in the selection, retention, and treatment of subcontractors, including procurements of materials in the discrimination prohibited by Section 21.5 of the Regulation, including employment practices when the contractor covers a program set for in Appendix B of the Regulations.
3. **Solicitation for Subcontracts, Including Procurements of Materials and Equipment:** In all solicitations either by competitive bidding or negotiation made by the contractor for work to be performed under a subcontract, including procurements of materials or leases of equipment, each potential subcontractor or supplier shall be notified by the contractor of the contractor’s obligations under the contract and the Regulations relative to nondiscrimination on the grounds of race, color, or national origin.
4. **Information and Reports:** The contractor shall provide all information and reports required by the Regulations, or directives issues pursuant thereto, and shall permit access to its books, records, accounts, other sources of information, and its facilities as may be determined by the State Highway Department or the Federal Highway Administration to be pertinent to ascertain compliance with such Regulations or directives.
5. **Sanctions for Noncompliance:** In the event the contractor’s noncompliance with the nondiscrimination provisions of this contract, the State Highway Department shall impose such contract sanctions as it or the Federal Highway Administration may determine to be appropriate, including, but not limited to:
 - a. Withholding payments to the contractor under the contract until the contractor complies.
 - b. Cancellation, termination, or suspension of the contract, in whole or in part.
6. **Incorporation of Provisions:** The contractor shall include provisions of paragraphs (1) through (6) in every subcontract, including procurement of material and leases of equipment, unless exempt by the Regulations, or directives issued pursuant thereto. The contractor shall take such action with respect to any subcontract or procurement as the State Highway Department or the Federal Highway Administration may direct as a means of enforcing such provisions including sanctions for noncompliance; provided, however, that, in the event a contractor becomes

¹The executive order verbatim can be found online at www.usdoj.gov/cor/Pubs/eolep.htm

²Policy Guidance Concerning Recipients’ Responsibilities to Limited English Proficient (LEP) Persons. Federal Register: December 14, 2005 (Volume 70, Number 239)

³The DOT has also posted an abbreviated version of this guidance on their website at <http://www.dotcr.ost.dot.gov/asp/lep.asp>.

⁴<http://www.dotcr.ost.dot.gov/asp/lep.asp>

⁵Department of Justice Final (LEP) Guidelines, Federal Register June 18, 2002-Vol 67 Number 117.

involved in, or is threatened with, litigation with a subcontractor or supplier as a result of such direction, the contractor may request the State Highway Department to enter into such litigation to protect the interests of the State, and, in addition, the contractor may request the United States to enter into such litigation to protect the interests of the United States.

¹The executive order verbatim can be found online at www.usdoj.gov/cor/Pubs/eolep.htm

²Policy Guidance Concerning Recipients' Responsibilities to Limited English Proficient (LEP) Persons. Federal Register: December 14, 2005 (Volume 70, Number 239)

³The DOT has also posted an abbreviated version of this guidance on their website at <http://www.dotcr.ost.dot.gov/asp/lep.asp>.

⁴<http://www.dotcr.ost.dot.gov/asp/lep.asp>

⁵Department of Justice Final (LEP) Guidelines, Federal Register June 18, 2002-Vol 67 Number 117.

APPENDIX B – TRANSFER OF PROPERTY

The following clauses shall be included in all deeds effecting or recording the transfer of real property, structures, or improvements thereon, or interest therein from the United States.

(Granting Clause)

Now Therefore, the Department of Transportation, as authorized by law, and upon the condition that the State of Michigan, will accept title to the lands and maintain the project constructed thereon, in accordance with Title 23, United States Code, the Regulations for the Administrations of the Department of Transportation and, also in accordance with and in compliance with all requirements imposed by or pursuant to Title 49, Code of Federal Regulations, Department of Transportation, Subtitle A, Office of the Secretary, Part 21, Nondiscrimination in Federally-assisted programs of the Department of Transportation (hereinafter referred to as the Regulations) pertaining to and effectuating the provisions of Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (78 Stat. 252; 42 U.S.C. 2000d to 2000d-4) does hereby remise, release, quitclaim and convey unto the State of Michigan all the rights, title, and interest of the Department of Transportation in and to said lands described in Exhibit “A” attached hereto and made a part hereof.

(Habendum Clause)

To Have and To Hold said lands and interests therein unto the State of Michigan, and its successors forever, subject, however, the covenant, conditions, restrictions and reservations herein contained as follows, which will remain in effect for the period during which the real property or structures are used for a purpose for which Federal financial assistance is extended or for another purpose involving the provision of similar services or benefits and shall be binding on the State of Michigan, its successors and assigns.

The State of Michigan, in consideration of the conveyance of said lands and interests in lands, does hereby covenant and agree as a covenant running with the land for itself, its successors and assigns, that (1) no person shall on the grounds of race, color, national origin, be excluded from participation in , be denied the benefits of, or be otherwise subjected to discrimination with regard to any facility located wholly or in part, on, over, or under such lands hereby conveyed (,) (and)*(2) that the State of Michigan shall use the lands and interests in lands so conveyed, in compliance with all requirements imposed by or pursuant to Title 40, Code of Federal Regulations, Department of Transportation, Subtitle A, Office of the Secretary, Part 21, Nondiscrimination in Federally assisted programs of the Department of Transportation – Effectuation of Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, and as said Regulations may be amended (,) and (3) that in the event of breach of any of the above mentions nondiscrimination conditions, the Department shall have a right to re-enter said lands and facilities on said land, and the above described land and facilities shall thereon revert to and vest in and become the absolute property of the Department of Transportation and its assigns as such interest existed prior to this deed.

*Revert clause and related language to be used only when it is determined that such a clause is necessary to effectuate the purpose of Title VI of the civil Rights Act of 1964.

¹The executive order verbatim can be found online at www.usdoj.gov/cor/Pubs/eolep.htm

²Policy Guidance Concerning Recipients' Responsibilities to Limited English Proficient (LEP) Persons. Federal Register: December 14, 2005 (Volume 70, Number 239)

³The DOT has also posted an abbreviated version of this guidance on their website at <http://www.dotcr.ost.dot.gov/asp/lep.asp>.

⁴<http://www.dotcr.ost.dot.gov/asp/lep.asp>

⁵Department of Justice Final (LEP) Guidelines, Federal Register June 18, 2002-Vol 67 Number 117.

APPENDIX C – PERMITS, LEASES AND LICENSES

The following clauses shall be included in all deeds, licenses, leases, permits, or similar instruments entered into by the Michigan Department of Transportation, pursuant to the provisions of Assurance 7(a).

The grantee, licensee, lessee, permittee, etc., (as appropriate) for himself, his heirs, personal representative, successors in interest, and assigns, as a part of the consideration hereof, does hereby covenant and agree (in the case of deeds and leases, add “as a covenant running with the land”) that in the event facilities are constructed, maintained, or otherwise operated on the said property described in this (deed, license, lease, permit, etc.) for a purpose for which a Department of Transportation program or activity is extended or for another purpose involving the provision of similar services or benefits, the (grantee, licensee, lessee, permittee, etc.) shall remain and operate such facilities and services in compliance with all other requirements imposed pursuant to Title 49, Code of Federal Regulations, Department of Transportation, Subtitle A, Office of the Secretary, Part 21, Nondiscrimination in Federally assisted programs of the Department of Transportation – Effectuation of Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, and as said Regulations may be amended.

(Include in licenses, leases, permits, etc.) *

That in the event of breach of any of the above nondiscrimination covenants, the Michigan Department of Transportation shall have the right to terminate the license, lease, permit, etc., and to re-enter and repossess said land and the facilities thereon, and hold the same as if said license, lease, permit, etc., had never been made or issued.

(Include in deeds) *

That in the event of breach of any of the above nondiscrimination covenants, the Michigan Department of Transportation shall have the right to re-enter lands and facilities hereon, and the above-described lands and facilities shall thereupon revert to and vest in and become the absolute property of the State of Michigan Department of Transportation and its assigns.

*Reverter clause and related language to be used only when it is determined that such a clause is necessary to effectuate the purpose of the Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and the Civil Rights Act of 1987.


¹The executive order verbatim can be found online at www.usdoj.gov/cor/Pubs/eolep.htm

²Policy Guidance Concerning Recipients’ Responsibilities to Limited English Proficient (LEP) Persons. Federal Register: December 14, 2005 (Volume 70, Number 239)

³The DOT has also posted an abbreviated version of this guidance on their website at <http://www.dotcr.ost.dot.gov/asp/lep.asp>.

⁴<http://www.dotcr.ost.dot.gov/asp/lep.asp>

⁵Department of Justice Final (LEP) Guidelines, Federal Register June 18, 2002-Vol 67 Number 117.



Send to: City Clerk
P.O. Box 757
Douglas, MI 49406
(269) 857-1438

Complaint Received:
Received by:
Investigation Date:
Completion Date:

TITLE VI GRIEVANCE FORM

FIRST NAME: _____ M.I. _____ LAST NAME: _____

PHONE NUMBER: _____ ext. _____

EMAIL ADDRESS: _____

ADDRESS: _____
Street # and Name Unit # City State ZIP

PLEASE LIST THE NAMES, ADDRESSES, AND PHONE NUMBERS OF ANY WITNESSES:

WITNESS FULL NAME: _____
First M.I. Last

WITNESS TITLE: _____ EMAIL: _____

WITNESS PHONE NUMBER: _____ ext. _____

WITNESS ADDRESS: _____
Street # and Name Unit # City State ZIP

LOCATION OF INCIDENT: _____
Street # and Name Unit # City State ZIP

¹The executive order verbatim can be found online at www.usdoj.gov/cor/Pubs/eolep.htm
²Policy Guidance Concerning Recipients' Responsibilities to Limited English Proficient (LEP) Persons. Federal Register: December 14, 2005 (Volume 70, Number 239)
³The DOT has also posted an abbreviated version of this guidance on their website at <http://www.dotcr.ost.dot.gov/asp/lep.asp>.
⁴<http://www.dotcr.ost.dot.gov/asp/lep.asp>
⁵Department of Justice Final (LEP) Guidelines, Federal Register June 18, 2002-Vol 67 Number 117.

DISCRIMINATION BECAUSE OF:

- | | | |
|--|--|---------------------------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Race | <input type="checkbox"/> Handicap / Disability | <input type="checkbox"/> Other |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Color | <input type="checkbox"/> Age | <input type="checkbox"/> Select |
| <input type="checkbox"/> National Origin | <input type="checkbox"/> Income Status | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Sex | <input type="checkbox"/> Retaliation | |

PLEASE EXPLAIN HOW, WHY, WHEN, AND WHERE YOU BELIEVE YOU WERE DISCRIMINATED

PLEASE INCLUDE THE URL OF THE WEBPAGE IF THE DISCRIMINATION CLAIM IS RELATED TO

Note: Alternate means of filing complaint, such as personal interviews or a tape recording of the complaint, will be made available for persons with disabilities upon request.

To receive a copy of your submission, please fill out your email address below and submit.

Email Address: _____

¹The executive order verbatim can be found online at www.usdoj.gov/cor/Pubs/eolep.htm

²Policy Guidance Concerning Recipients' Responsibilities to Limited English Proficient (LEP) Persons. Federal Register: December 14, 2005 (Volume 70, Number 239)

³The DOT has also posted an abbreviated version of this guidance on their website at <http://www.dotcr.ost.dot.gov/asp/lep.asp>.

⁴<http://www.dotcr.ost.dot.gov/asp/lep.asp>

⁵Department of Justice Final (LEP) Guidelines, Federal Register June 18, 2002-Vol 67 Number ¹¹⁷.

Email to: Pamela Aalderink, City Clerk
clerk@ci.douglas.mi.us

APPENDIX E – DETERMINE/DISTINGUISH SIGNIFICANT/NON-SIGNIFICANT EFFECTS

“Significant” requires considerations of both context and intensity:

(a) Context. This means that the significance of an action must be analyzed in several contexts such as society (human, nation), the affected region, the affected interests, and the locality. Significance varies with the setting of the proposed action. For instance, in the case of a site-specific action, significance would usually depend upon the effects in the local area rather than in the world. Both short and long-term effects are relevant.

(b) Intensity. This refers to the severity of impact. Responsible officials must bear in mind that more than one agency may make decisions about partial aspects of a major action. The following should be considered in evaluating intensity:

(1) Impacts that may be both beneficial and adverse. A significant effect may exist even if, on balance, the effect would be beneficial.

“Non-significant effect” means no substantial change to an environmental component and this has no material bearing on the decision-making process.

Scientific, technical, institutional, the public’s value, and the local economic conditions influence the meaning of significant effect.

If an alternative would provide a beneficial effect, then the alternative would cause not significant adverse effect. If an alternative would provide an adverse effect, the effect might be significant or the effect might be non-significant.

Determinations of “significant” and “non-significant” effects will be made by the City Clerk/Title VI Coordinator.

¹The executive order verbatim can be found online at www.usdoj.gov/cor/Pubs/eolep.htm

²Policy Guidance Concerning Recipients’ Responsibilities to Limited English Proficient (LEP) Persons. Federal Register: December 14, 2005 (Volume 70, Number 239)

³The DOT has also posted an abbreviated version of this guidance on their website at <http://www.dotcr.ost.dot.gov/lep.asp>.

⁴<http://www.dotcr.ost.dot.gov/lep.asp>

⁵Department of Justice Final (LEP) Guidelines, Federal Register June 18, 2002-Vol 67 Number 117.

APPENDIX F – PROGRAM COMPLIANCE/PROGRAM REVIEW GOALS FOR CURRENT PLAN YEAR

1. The City of Douglas’s Title VI Plan will be communicated to each City Department Head who will review the plan with departmental employees. All City of Douglas employees will be trained or made aware of the title VI and LEP policies and complaint procedures.
2. The City of Douglas’s Title VI Plan will be published on the main page of the City website www.ci.douglas.mi.us within 90 days of approval.
3. Appendix A will be included in all City contracts as outlined in the title VI Plan.
4. The language in Number 2 of the City of Douglas’s Title VI Assurances will be included in all solicitations for bids for work or material subject to the Regulations and in all proposals for negotiated agreements.
5. The procedure(s) for responding to individuals with Limited English Proficiency will be implemented.
6. A review of City facilities will be conducted about compliance with the American Disabilities Act.
7. The following data will be collected and reviewed by the Title VI Coordinator and included, where appropriate, in the annual report submitted to MDOT.
 - a. Boards and Commissions: The number of vacancies; how vacancies are advertised and filled; the number of applicants; the representation of minorities will be evaluated.
 - b. Public Meetings: The number of open meetings; how meetings dates and times are communicated to the general public and to individuals directly affected by the meeting.
 - c. Construction Projects: The number of construction projects and minority contractors bidding, and the number selected; verification that Title VI language was included in bids and contracts for each project.
 - d. LEP Needs: The number of requests for language assistance that were requested or required; the outcome of these requests.
 - e. Complaints: the number of Title VI complaints received; nature of the complaints; resolution of the complaints.
 - f. Timeliness of Services: The number of requests for services; amount of time from request to when service was delivered; number of requests denied.
 - g. Right of Way/Eminent Domain: The number of such actions and diversity of individual(s) affected.
 - h. Program Participants: Racial data of program participants where possible.

¹The executive order verbatim can be found online at www.usdoj.gov/cor/Pubs/eolep.htm

²Policy Guidance Concerning Recipients’ Responsibilities to Limited English Proficient (LEP) Persons. Federal Register: December 14, 2005 (Volume 70, Number 239)

³The DOT has also posted an abbreviated version of this guidance on their website at <http://www.dotcr.ost.dot.gov/asp/lep.asp>.

⁴<http://www.dotcr.ost.dot.gov/asp/lep.asp>

⁵Department of Justice Final (LEP) Guidelines, Federal Register June 18, 2002-Vol 67 Number 117.